UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,925	09/29/2006	Motoaki Kamachi	Q80936	5773
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 09/02/200 ON. PLLC	EXAMINER		
	LVANIA AVENUE, N	GOON, SCARLETT Y		
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/594,9	925	KAMACHI ET AL.				
		Examine	- er	Art Unit				
		SCARLE	ETT GOON	1623				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on th	ne cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 29 September	2006					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
—	Since this application is in condition	/ —		s, prosecution as to the	e merits is			
٠,؎	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the	application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.							
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
7-7	- · ·							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>29 September 2006</u> .	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application				

The preliminary amendment filed on 29 September 2006 in which claims 6, 8 and 9-11 were currently amended, is acknowledged.

Claims 1-13 are pending in the instant application.

Priority

This application is a National Stage entry of PCT/JP05/06406 filed on 25 March 2005 and claims priority to U.S. provisional application no. 60/560605 filed on 9 April 2004 and Japan foreign application 2004-105931 filed on 31 March 2004. A certified copy of the foreign priority document in Japanese has been received. No English translation has been received.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) dated 29 September 2006 complies with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609. Accordingly, it has been placed in the application file and the information therein has been considered as to the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1623

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitation of a "derivative" in these claims renders the claims herein indefinite. The recitation of a "polysaccharide derivative" or "anhydrosaccharide derivative" is not clearly defined in the specification. Thus, the metes and bounds of the term "derivative" are unclear. The 10th edition of the Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary (Merriam-Webster Incorporated: Springfield, Massachusetts, 1993, pp 311) defines "derivative" as, "a chemical substance related structurally to another substance and theoretically derivable from it." Hence, one of ordinary skill in the art could not ascertain and interpret the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired as to "polysaccharide derivative" or "anhydrosaccharide derivative" herein. Thus, it is unclear and indefinite as to how the "derivative" herein is encompassed thereby.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Art Unit: 1623

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Section [0001]

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WIPO publication WO 2000/35504 by Thornton *et al.* (PTO-892, Ref. N) in view of JP2003-252904 by Kakuchi *et al.* (IDS dated 29 September 2006).

Thornton *et al.* teach a <u>superabsorbent polymer material that comprises an acidic polysaccharide compound crosslinked with an agent</u> to produce a gel. The polysaccharide may be starch, cellulose, amylose, amylopectin, chitin, scleroglucan, <u>galactomannans</u>, glucomannans, fructans, (arabino)xylans, galactans and other mixed polysaccharides (paragraph 0007). The carboxylic acids of the polysaccharide may be present as a result of carboxyalkylation, or as a result of a reaction with an anhydride

such as maleic or succinic anyhydride as a result of oxidation (paragraph 0005).

Oxidized polysaccharides include dicarboxy polysaccharides and 6-carboxy polysaccharides (paragraph 0014). The polysaccharide containing acidic groups is reacted with a crosslinking agent to produce a gel (paragraph 0016). Suitable crosslinking agents include examples such as divinyl sulphone, epichlorohydrin, diepoxybutane, diglycidyl ethers, diisocyanates, and inorganic crosslinkers (paragraph 0016). The crosslinking agents may act on the hydroxyl groups of different polysaccharide chains. The superabsorbent polysaccharides combine high absorption capacity with control of bacterial growth and control of odor, as well as with biodegradability (paragraph 0023). The superabsorbent polysaccharide derivatives can

Page 5

Thornton *et al.* do not teach a moisture retention polymer compound wherein the multi-branched polysaccharide includes anhydrosaccharides.

be used for absorbing liquids, especially of body fluids which contain various salts and

non-ionic substances (paragraph 0024).

Kakuchi *et al.* teach a method for manufacturing <u>multi-branching polysaccharides</u> which are obtained by polymerization in the presence of a <u>cation or anion initiator</u> and an <u>anhydrosugar</u> (p. 4, section 0003). The multi-branching polysaccharides are useful as a thickener in a biocompatible gel or a medically-based material (p. 3, section 0001). The anydrosugars can be a <u>1,6-anydrosugar</u>, a <u>1,4-anhydrosugar</u>, a <u>1,3-anhydrosugar</u>, or a <u>1,2-anydrosugar</u> (p. 4) The <u>degree of branching of the multi-branching</u> <u>polysaccharide is between 0.05 to 1.00</u> (p. 5, section 0005). The water-soluble multi-branching polysaccharide can be synthesized in high reproducibility in large quantities

Art Unit: 1623

to enable their use as a functional material on an industrial scale (p. 7, section 0010). Furthermore, unlike natural polysaccharides, the molecular weight and degree of branching can be controlled (p. 7, section 0010).

With respect to the limitations of instant claim 9, it is noted that the references do not teach that the solubility of the polymer is 1.0% by mass or higher. However, the solubility of the polymer is considered an intrinsic property of the material. Thus, as the combined references do disclose the claimed polymer compound, the solubility of the polymer must necessarily be met.

As such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Thornton *et al.*, concerning a superabsorbent polymer material that comprises an acidic polysaccharide compounds crosslinked with an agent such as diisocyanates to produce a gel, with the teachings of Kakuchi *et al.*, regarding a method for manufacturing multi-branching polysaccharides. One would have been motivated to combine the teachings in order to receive the expected benefit, as suggested by Kakuchi *et al.*, that the water-soluble multi-branching polysaccharide can be synthesized in high reproducibility in large quantities to enable their use as a functional material on an industrial scale (p. 7, section 0010).

Thus, the claimed invention as a whole is *prima facie* obvious over the combined teachings of the prior art.

Section [0002]

Art Unit: 1623

Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WIPO publication WO 2000/35504 by Thornton *et al.* (PTO-892, Ref. N) in view of JP2003-252904 by Kakuchi *et al.* (IDS dated 29 September 2006) and further in view of US Patent No. 6,197,319 B1 to Wang *et al.* (PTO-892, Ref. A).

The teachings of Thornton *et al.* and Kakuchi *et al.* were as described above in section [0001] of the claim rejections under 35 USC § 103. The references do not explicitly teach that the polymer compound can be used as an external preparation for the skin, or as a cosmetic.

The Wang '319 patent teaches a cosmetic composition comprising a protein/polysaccharide complex. Polysaccharides and proteins are commonly incorporated into cosmetic compositions because they are known to be good humectanits, film formers, and function as skin moisturizers (column 1, lines 14-16). Thus, it may be advantageous to make a protein/polysaccharide complex as a means to overcome stability issues faced by proteins and polysaccharides in cosmetic products. Examples of anionic polysaccharides include galactans, galactomannans, glucomannans, and polyuronic acids (column 3, lines 39-44).

As such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Thornton *et al.*, concerning a superabsorbent polymer material that comprises an acidic polysaccharide compounds crosslinked with an agent such as diisocyanates to produce a gel, with the teachings of Kakuchi *et al.*, regarding a method for manufacturing multi-branching polysaccharides, with the teachings of the Wang '319 patent, regarding the incorporation of

Art Unit: 1623

polysaccharides into cosmetic compositions. As the polysaccharides (i.e., galactomannan and glucomannan) that are taught in the Wang '319 patent as being useful in cosmetic compositions are the same as those taught by Thornton et al. as being useful for crosslinking with an agent to produce a gel to make a superabsorbent polymer, it would have been prima facie obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the moisture retention polymer in a cosmetic composition to enhance skin moisture retention when applied to the skin. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to conceive that a superabsorbent polysaccharide has a high moisture retention capacity and thus may be useful for enhancing skin moisture retention. Furthermore, one would have been motivated to combine the teachings in order to receive the expected benefit, as suggested by Kakuchi et al., that the water-soluble multi-branching polysaccharide can be synthesized in high reproducibility in large quantities to enable their use as a functional material on an industrial scale (p. 7, section 0010). Furthermore, Kakuchi et al. teach that unlike natural polysaccharides, the molecular weight and degree of branching can be controlled (p. 7, section 0010). Hence, one of ordinary skill in the art would reasonably conceive that the synthetic multi-branching polysaccharides would be more useful in a cosmetic preparation than natural polysaccharides as the synthetic polysaccharides are homogeneous in structure.

Thus, the claimed invention as a whole is *prima facie* obvious over the combined teachings of the prior art.

Art Unit: 1623

Section [0003]

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WIPO publication WO 2000/35504 by Thornton *et al.* (PTO-892, Ref. N) in view of journal publication by Kasuya *et al.* (PTO-892, Ref. U) and PG Pub No. US 2002/0065328 A1 by Dederen *et al.* (PTO-892, Ref. B).

The teachings of Thornton *et al.* were as described above in section [0001] of the claim rejections under 35 USC § 103.

Thornton *et al.* do not teach a moisture retention polymer compound wherein the polysaccharide includes anhydrosaccharides, nor does the reference teach a cosmetic product comprising the moisture retention polymer.

Kasuya *et al.* teach the chemical synthesis of a branched polysaccharide via cationic ring-opening polymerization and copolymerization of an <u>anhydrodisaccharide</u> monomer. Scheme 2 shows the <u>polymerization of a 1,6-anhydrodisaccharide monomer</u> and the resulting <u>1,6-linked product which contains a branching glucose residue</u> at the 4-position for each sugar unit in the chain (p. 2132, column 1). Scheme 6 shows the <u>copolymerization of a 1,6-anyhydrodisaccharide monomer with a 1,6-anyhydromonosaccharide monomer</u>, resulting in a <u>1,6-linked product that contains a branching glucose residue for every other sugar unit in the chain</u> (p. 2134, column 2). The cationic ring-opening polymerization of anhydro saccharide units are excellent methods for the chemical synthesis of branched polysaccharides with high molecular weight and a well-defined structure (p. 2131, column 1, second paragraph).

Art Unit: 1623

Dederen *et al.* teach a <u>personal care or cosmetic</u> oil in water emulsion that includes an oil emulsifier and a combination of a Xanthan polysaccharide and a <u>polyglucomannan polysaccharide</u> to provide enhanced stability. Personal care products include <u>cosmetic skin creams</u>, <u>lotion and milks</u> (paragraphs 0002 and 0009).

Polyglucomannan typically has a random glucose/mannose backbone, typically at a molar ratio of glucose to mannose in the range of about 1:1.5 to about 1:3, with various acetylated groups (paragraph 0011). The molecular weight of useful polyglucomannans can vary within a typical range of from about 2x10⁵ to about 2x10⁶ (paragraph 0011).

The <u>amount of polysaccharide stabilizer used is from about 0.02% to about 0.5% by weight of the emulsion</u> (paragraph 0018).

It is noted that the references do not explicitly teach the limitations of claim 8, wherein the branching degree of the branched polysaccharide is 0.05 to 1.00. However, Kasuya *et al.* do indicate different methods for modifying the degree of branching based on whether anhydrodisaccharides or anhydromonosaccharides are used in the copolymerization reaction. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would know how to alter the reaction conditions to obtain the desired degree of branching. Moreover, it is considered within the capabilities of one of ordinary skill in the art to vary the ratios of anhydro sugars and other reagents in the reaction, including the type of anhydrosugar, to obtain a polysaccharide with the desired degree of branching, linkage, and sugar units.

As such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Thornton *et al.*, concerning a

Art Unit: 1623

superabsorbent polymer material that comprises an acidic polysaccharide compounds crosslinked with an agent such as diisocyanates to produce a gel, with the teachings of Kasuya et al., concerning the chemical synthesis of a branched polysaccharide via cationic ring-opening polymerization and copolymerization of an anhydrodisaccharide monomer, with the teachings of the Dederen '107 patent, regarding a personal care or cosmetic oil in water emulsion that includes an oil emulsifier and a combination of a Xanthan polysaccharide and a polyglucomannan polysaccharide to provide enhanced stability. One would have been motivated to combine the teachings in order to receive the expected benefit, as suggested by Kasuya et al., that the synthesis of branched polysaccharides by cationic ring-opening polymerization of anhydro saccharides results in products with high molecular weight and a well-defined structure (p. 2131, column 1, second paragraph). Additionally, as the Dederen '107 patent teaches that polyglucomannan is highly heterogeneous, like most natural polysaccharides, and Thornton et al. teach the same compound (glucomannan) is useful as a polysaccharide for crosslinking with an agent to make a gel, one of ordinary skill in the art would reasonably conceive that the cationic ring-opening polymerization of anhydro saccharides that yield branched polysaccharides, as taught by Kasuya et al., would be a more useful route for obtaining the polysaccharides as these synthetic compounds are homogeneous in structure, thereby providing more reproducible results.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Art Unit: 1623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SCARLETT GOON whose telephone number is 571-270-5241. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thu 7:00 am - 4 pm and every other Fri 7:00 am - 12 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Jiang can be reached on 571-272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Shaojia Anna Jiang, Ph.D./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623

/SCARLETT GOON/ Examiner Art Unit 1623